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Abstract

Introduction: Intravenous therapy and medicines (IVTM) are the most common invasive interventions in use in healthcare. Prescribed IVTM play an essential role in the treatment of illness, management of chronic conditions and in maintaining health and wellbeing. The intravenous (IV) route is the administration of concentrated medications (diluted or undiluted) directly into peripherally or centrally inserted vascular access devices. Medication safety is a key priority and best practice standards are required to guide the safe preparation and administration of IVTM.

Methods: We will conduct a systematic review of the literature pertaining to the preparation and administration of intravenous therapy and medicines. Our search will include studies concerned with the preparation and/or administration of IVTM via peripheral or central vascular access devices. We will be guided by the preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis (PRISMA) in this review. Literature will include all trial designs, national/international guidelines, and expert consensus opinion made available in English from 2009 to present day.

Conclusions: We will synthesise the evidence concerning safe and effective preparation and administration of intravenous therapy and medicines to inform the development of a national guideline for
healthcare professionals in Ireland. The availability of up-to-date, contemporaneous evidence-based practice standards will ensure quality and safety for service-users.

**Registration:** This study has been submitted to PROSPERO and we are awaiting confirmation of registration.
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**Introduction**

While the concept and practice of intravenous therapy is centuries old, with the first documented blood transfusion taking place in 1492, the key advancements that have made it a ubiquitous part of healthcare today largely occurred in the last two centuries. The cholera epidemic in the early 19th century led Dr William Brooke O’Shaughnessy to state “I would not hesitate to inject some ounces of warm water in the veins. I would also, without apprehension, dissolve in that water the mild innocuous salts which nature herself is accustomed to combine with the human blood”, a theory later tested by Dr Thomas Latta to great success. Since then healthcare has witnessed a proliferation of new medicines requiring administration via the circulatory system.

Medication safety has been identified internationally by the World Health Organisation as a key area for improvement in all healthcare settings. In Ireland, a number of medication-related errors appear in the top ten medical incidents reported to the State Claims Agency (for example, incorrect dosages, missed medications, medications being incorrect/not reconciled for changes in care). Such medication incidents were reported from multiple services nationally. Intravenous therapy and medicines (IVTM) safety can impact greatly on patient care. High profile medication errors have directly led to guidance being developed, as well as landmark legal rulings, both resulting in widespread changes to practice.

With up to 90% of admitted patients now receiving IVTM at some point during their stay in hospital, relevant guidelines are more necessary than ever. Additionally, while administration of IVTM was initially considered a role for the doctor alone, rapid developments in the field and the changing roles of healthcare professionals (HCPs) means that the majority of clinical staff will be responsible for the management, preparation, and administration of IVTM at some point. This highlights the various number of healthcare professionals involved in IVTM.

In 2018, the Irish Health Information and Quality Authority (HIQA) published its recommendations on the first phase of the Medication Safety Monitoring Programme. It recommends that hospitals provide clinical staff with easily accessible information such as policies, procedures, guidelines and/or protocols to guide the safe use of medicines at the point of prescribing, preparation and administration.

A guideline is defined as “a principle of criterion that guides or directs action”. The Irish Health Service Executive framework for developing guidelines emphasises using clear evidence from the existing literature, rather than expert opinion alone. In systematically reviewing available literature, we can provide better knowledge and evidence for robust guideline for HCPs.

The aim of this review is to synthesise the evidence for a specific question: *In the preparation and administration of intravenous medicines, what are the best practice standards that healthcare professionals need to follow to ensure patient safety?*

This evidence synthesis will then feed into the development of new guidelines for the Irish Health Service Executive (HSE) and be disseminated to the wider research and clinical community. Our report will be guided by the PRISMA statement checklist (preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis) and the synthesis without meta-analysis (SwiM) extension.

**Objective**

Our primary research question, devised by the HSE to inform their guideline development, is “In the preparation and administration of intravenous medicines, what are the best practice standards that healthcare professionals need to follow to ensure patient safety?”. The working group developing this national guideline have further identified the following areas as specific topics of interest:

- Literature relating to independent double checking of IVTM
- Literature relating to the practices required to ensure complete administration of IVTM
- Literature relating to use of infusion pumps for the delivery of IVTM
- Literature relating to the standards required for labelling IVTM
- Literature relating to the education and competency requirements for healthcare professionals administering IVTM
- Literature relating to the involvement of the following undergraduate students in the process of preparation and administration of IVTM: nurses, midwives, doctors, paramedics and radiographers.

**Methods**

**Criteria for inclusion of study designs**

To ensure that all relevant national and international peer reviewed evidence and policy literature is considered, this review will include randomised controlled trials (RCTs), systematic reviews, meta-analyses, cohort studies, observational studies, national/international guidelines, and expert consensus opinion made available from 2009 to current (See Table 1 for inclusion and exclusion criteria).

**Inclusion**

Literature must involve the preparation and/or administration of IV medicines via peripheral or central venous access devices.

**Exclusion**

Studies focusing on needlefree devices or the introduction, care, or maintenance of access devices will be excluded, as will studies examining the administration of blood, blood products, or parenteral nutrition. Studies focusing on consent or...
infection prevention will be also be excluded, owing to the robust guidelines already integrated into practice (e.g. Epic3 guidelines\textsuperscript{13} and the Aseptic Non-Touch Technique (ANTT) framework\textsuperscript{14}). Work published before 2009 will be excluded, to ensure the synthesis reflects current best practices.

**Participants**

All patients receiving IV medicines (adults, children and neonates) in primary or secondary care settings (i.e. pre-hospital, acute hospital and community settings).

**Interventions**

We will consider for inclusion any publication that details the preparation of IVTMs for administration via peripheral or central venous access devices. This will include slow bolus IVTMs injections, intermittent and continuous IV infusions. This review will include studies focusing on medical professionals, nurses, midwives, paramedics and radiographers, working within pre-hospital, acute hospital and community settings.

**Outcomes**

This review will gather safety and effectiveness outcome data. As these two terms underpin best clinical practice, we propose a broad definition of the following:

1. For safety outcomes, we will include papers with outcomes relating to incidences of errors in the preparation and/or administration of IVTMs.
   
   a. We will extract data relating to the effectiveness of the intervention in question, i.e. the outcomes as chosen within each study

2. For effectiveness outcomes:

   a. We will extract data relating to the effectiveness of the intervention in question, i.e. the primary outcomes as chosen within each study

   b. We will extract data from studies which describe effectiveness of practice

**Search strategy**

We will search the following databases for material published after 2009: Cochrane Library, PubMed, CINAHL, and Web of Science. Additionally, we will search OpenGrey and OAlster for grey literature. An example of the search devised for CINAHL is available as Extended data\textsuperscript{15}. Searches will be designed by an appropriately experienced search methodologist team member (JDI).

**Study screening**

Titles and abstracts will be imported into the systematic review software Covidence\textsuperscript{16}. Two authors will screen the title and abstracts of the citations against the pre-specified eligibility criteria. Any discrepancies will be resolved by a content-expert author (PC) if consensus cannot be reached. The same process will be followed for full-text screening. We will record a rationale for exclusion for any papers deemed ineligible at full text. Both title and abstract and full-text screening processes will be piloted to ensure consistency across authors.

**Data extraction**

Data will be extracted from included studies by two reviewers (PC, LOC) independently using the data extraction tool within Covidence. Any discrepancies between reviewer’s extractions will be highlighted by Covidence, and consensus will be reached through discussion where necessary. The data extraction form will be piloted by two authors (PC, LOC).

Data extracted from each study (where provided) will include:

- Primary outcome – All reported outcomes, primary and secondary relating to our defined outcomes of safety and effectiveness
- Study description: design, methodology (e.g RCT, cohort study), methods of analysis, data type (qualitative/quantitative), publication date, clinical trial registration and study protocol.
- Health care personnel and study demographics: e.g role and treatment setting

---

### Table 1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Included</th>
<th>Excluded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RCTs, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, cohort studies, observational studies, national/international guidelines, and expert consensus opinion</td>
<td>Studies published before 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Studies involving the preparation and/or administration of IV medicines via peripheral or central venous access devices</td>
<td>Studies focusing on needlefree devices or the introduction, care, or maintenance of access devices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Studies focusing on medical professionals, nurses, midwives, paramedics and radiographers, working within pre-hospital, acute hospital and community settings</td>
<td>Studies examining the administration of blood, blood products, or parenteral nutrition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Studies carried out in pre-hospital, acute hospital and community settings</td>
<td>Studies focusing on consent to IVT treatment or infection prevention</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RCTs, randomised controlled trials.
• Care characteristics: primary disease, treatment(s), duration of care
• IVTM treatment details: drug administered, frequency, duration, access device used

As our primary aim is to narratively synthesise the evidence, we will not be extracting data relating to risk of bias at this time. However, during data extraction, reviewers will take notes relating to methodology and study quality, to provide a rudimentary indication of evidence quality, and to inform potential further analyses.

Data synthesis
There are two phases to our planned data synthesis. Firstly, we will narratively synthesise the included full text papers with respect to the specific objectives and report these findings.

Secondly, should sufficient suitable studies be found, additional separate quantitative and qualitative analyses will be carried out.

Summary of finding and data visualisation. We will produce a summary of findings addressing the focused objectives listed above. We anticipate high levels of heterogeneity in eligible studies thus ruling out the possibility of meta-analysis. Therefore, the Synthesis Without Meta-analysis (SWiM) extension to the PRISMA statement checklist will guide the optimal reporting of the work and results.

Dissemination
A report summarising all major findings aligned with the focused objectives will be provided to the HSE to inform their guideline development. Some dissemination activities will be focused specifically on this audience (e.g. summary infographics, invited talks, etc.). Additionally, we plan to publish our findings in a peer reviewed journal. Should the data allow, we will separate qualitative and quantitative evidence and publish analyses of both.

Current study status
The search for this study was carried out in February 2020. Title and abstract screening was completed in early March, and full text screening is expected to be completed by early April.

Conclusion
This review will synthesise best available evidence for the preparation and administration of IVT as demonstrated in existing guidelines, peer-reviewed science, and expert consensus, forming an overview of the current state of the field. Ultimately, the findings will be used to inform the development of a Health Service Executive (HSE) national guideline for the administration of intravenous medications by healthcare professionals in Ireland. As such, a limitation of the study is the specificity of the inclusion and exclusion criteria, which are designed to allow this work to complement existing syntheses and minimise overlap with guidelines that are already integrated into clinical practice.

Data availability
Underlying data
No underlying data are associated with this article.

Extended data
Open Science Framework: In the preparation and administration of intravenous medicines, what are the best practice standards that healthcare professionals need to follow to ensure patient safety? https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/U3JBW

Reporting guidelines
Open Science Framework: PRISMA-P checklist for “Study Protocol: In the preparation and administration of intravenous medicines, what are the best practice standards that healthcare professionals need to follow to ensure patient safety?”. https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/U3JBW

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC-BY 4.0).

References


Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status: ?

Version 1

Reviewer Report 09 July 2020

https://doi.org/10.21956/hrbopenres.14123.r27569

© 2020 Avelar A. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Ariane Ferreira Machado Avelar ID
Department of Pediatric Nursing, Escola Paulista de Enfermagem, Universidade Federal de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

Medication safety is one of the greatest indicators of health care quality. Errors related to intravenous therapy process are among the most frequent worldwide, and research into which group the best practice standards during the preparation and administration of intravenous medicines are essential to promote patient safety.

The study protocol is well designed and clearly justified. I have a few comments which I hope will refine the manuscript.

The title of the manuscript must contain information that deals with a systematic review.

The research question is clear and well delimited, and the main objective is to synthesize the evidence of the best practices that health professionals should follow during the preparation and administration of intravenous medicines, to feed the development of new guidelines for the Irish Health Service Executive.

The topic of interest showed in the Objectives topic: “Literature relating to the involvement of the following undergraduate students in the process of preparation and administration of IVTM: nurses, midwives, doctors, paramedics and radiographers” does not seems to be suitable to answer the research question. I suggest delimiting the search only in the best practices of preparation and administration of medicines, regardless of the professional who performs it.

The inclusion criteria “Studies focusing on medical professionals, nurses, midwives, paramedics and radiographers, working within pre-hospital, acute hospital and community settings” does not seems to be suitable. The objective of this study is the best practices for the preparation and administration of drugs and solutions, which must be followed by all professionals, regardless of the professional category.

The search strategies must be clarified. What descriptors will be used?
Is the rationale for, and objectives of, the study clearly described?
Yes

Is the study design appropriate for the research question?
Yes

Are sufficient details of the methods provided to allow replication by others?
Partly

Are the datasets clearly presented in a useable and accessible format?
Not applicable
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I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.
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**Author Response 20 Aug 2020**

Laura O'Connor, National University of Ireland Galway, Galway, Ireland

Thank you for your review of our paper. We greatly appreciate the time you have taken, and feel your feedback will improve the clarity of our protocol.

We have changed the title to include that this protocol is for a systematic review.

In terms of the topic of interest/inclusion criteria, we agree that both are very specific. As this protocol is for a review commissioned by a department of the Health Service Executive, these objectives were laid out in the initial tender documents and represent specific areas of interest to the HSE for these specific guidelines. While this does narrow the scope of this review, it ensures that the results will be aligned with the need the eventual guidelines is meant to address.

As for the search strategies, our searches were quite lengthy, given the specific interests laid out by the HSE. As such we made the decision to include a sample search (for CINAHL, which is representative of the searches for other databases) as extended data, as we found it challenging to accurately represent the breadth of the search and number of descriptors in any other way.

Thank you again for your time and feedback.
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